```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 2
                         Richmond Division
 3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
 5
                                       Criminal Case No.:
                                       3:21 CR 42
   KEITH RODNEY MOORE
 6
 7
                                       June 4, 2021
 8
 9
   COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT AND DETENTION HEARING
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH W. HANES
10
               UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE
11
12
  APPEARANCES:
   Kevin S. Elliker, Esquire
   OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
   919 East Main Street
   Suite 1900
15
  Richmond, Virginia 23219
        Counsel on behalf of the United States
16
17
   Robert J. Wagner, Esquire
   OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
18
   701 East Broad Street
   Suite 3600
19
   Richmond, Virginia
                        23219
20
        Counsel on behalf of the Defendant
21
22
23
24
                      KRISTA L. HARDING, RMR
```

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

```
1
            (The proceeding commenced at 11:02 a.m.)
 2
             THE COURT: Good morning.
 3
             MR. ELLIKER: Good morning.
 4
             MR. WAGNER: Good morning.
 5
             THE COURT: Madam Clerk, can you call this
   matter, please.
 6
7
             THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am.
             In the matter of Criminal Case 21 CR 42, United
8
 9
   States of America v. Keith Rodney Moore.
10
             The United States is represented by Kevin
   Elliker.
11
             The defendant is represented by Robert Wagner.
12
13
             Counsel, are you ready to proceed?
             MR. ELLIKER: The United States is ready, Your
14
15
   Honor.
16
             Good morning.
17
             MR. WAGNER: Mr. Moore is ready, Judge.
             Good morning.
18
19
             THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.
20
             Mr. Elliker.
21
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, we're here this
22
   morning for both an arraignment and a detention hearing
  for Mr. Moore, who is named in a one-count indictment
   filed -- or returned by the grand jury on May 4th of this
   year that charges a single violation of federal law, 18
```

```
U.S.C., Section 922(g)(1), possession of a firearm and
 2
   ammunition by a convicted felon.
 3
             The maximum penalties associated with that
   offense are 10 years incarceration, a $250,000 fine, three
 4
 5
   years of supervised release and forfeiture of the firearm
   in question.
 6
7
             The government calculates the speedy trial
   cutoff date as August 10, 2021, and is prepared to go
8
 9
   forward today with evidence regarding the detention issue.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. We'll do the arraignment
11
   first, and then we'll proceed to the detention hearing.
             MR. ELLIKER:
                            Thank you.
12
13
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you, Mr. Elliker.
             Mr. Wagner, if you could -- actually, get you to
14
15
   come forward with Mr. Moore, please.
16
             Good morning, Mr. Moore.
17
             MR. MOORE: Good morning. How are you doing?
                         I'm good.
18
             THE COURT:
19
             So, sir, today what we'll do is we're going to
20
   functionally have two hearings. The first is an
21
   arraignment where your trial date will be set, and then
22
   the second is a detention hearing. I'm going to do the
   arraignment first, and so for that purpose I'll ask the
23
   clerk to place you under oath.
25
                         Sir, please raise your right hand.
             THE CLERK:
```

```
Do you affirm under penalty of perjury that the
1
 2
   answers to the questions you're about to be asked will be
 3
   the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
                          Yes, ma'am.
 4
              MR. MOORE:
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              Sir, can you please state your full name for the
7
   record.
8
             MR. MOORE:
                          Keith Rodney Moore.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          And how old are you?
10
             MR. MOORE:
                          Thirty-three.
11
              THE COURT:
                          And how far did you go in school?
             MR. MOORE:
                          I got a G -- to the 11th.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                          You went to the 11th grade?
             MR. MOORE:
                          That's why I got a GED.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          And then you got a GED. Okay.
16
             MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.
17
              THE COURT:
                          So based on that, can you read,
   write, and understand the English language?
18
19
             MR. MOORE:
                          Yes, ma'am.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
21
              Are you under the influence of any drugs or
22
   alcohol today?
23
              MR. MOORE:
                          No, ma'am.
24
                          And are -- have you been under the
              THE COURT:
   treatment of any doctor for any mental health illness?
```

```
1
             MR. MOORE:
                          No, ma'am.
 2
             THE COURT:
                          There -- have you received, you
 3
   should have likely, your attorney has placed it in front
   of you, a copy of the indictment in this case? That's the
 4
 5
   charging document.
                         Oh. Yes, ma'am.
 6
             MR. MOORE:
7
             THE COURT: And it sets forth that there's one
8
   charge, possession of a firearm by a convicted felon.
 9
   you understand the charge against you?
                          Yes, ma'am.
10
             MR. MOORE:
11
             THE COURT:
                          Do you understand the maximum
   penalties that could apply if you are -- either plead
12
   quilty or found quilty of this offense?
13
             MR. MOORE:
                          Yes, ma'am.
14
15
                         Mr. Wagner, do you agree with the
             THE COURT:
   speedy trial cutoff as set forth by the government?
16
17
             MR. WAGNER: Yes, Your Honor.
                          And have you had a sufficient
18
             THE COURT:
19
   opportunity to review the indictment with Mr. Moore prior
   to appearing before me today?
20
             MR. WAGNER: I've -- I've come in this case with
21
22
   -- I think Ms. Koenig has been on it.  I believe she
23
   reviewed it with him.
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
25
             Mr. Moore, did you review the indictment with
```

```
Ms. Koenig?
 2
             MR. MOORE:
                         Yes, ma'am.
 3
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
             Does -- Mr. Wagner, does Mr. Moore waive a
 4
 5
   formal reading of the indictment in this case?
 6
             MR. WAGNER: He does, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT: And would he request a trial by jury
8
   or trial by the Court?
 9
             MR. WAGNER: Jury, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             Madam Clerk, can you please arraign Mr. Moore.
             THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am.
12
13
             Keith Rodney Moore, you understand the charge
   against you in the indictment. I ask you now what is your
14
   plea, guilty or not guilty?
15
16
             MR. MOORE: Not guilty.
17
             THE COURT:
                         Sir, your trial will be set before
   Judge Gibney for a jury trial on August 5th and 6th.
18
19
   I'm going to give some instruction to the counsel, so you
20
   can return to your seat.
21
             So, for the government, as required by Rule
   5(f), I'll order that you produce all exculpatory evidence
22
23 Ito the defendant pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, and its
   prodigy. And remind you that failure to do so may result
24
   in add -- in sanctions, including the exclusion of
```

evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges 2 and contempt proceedings. Do you understand that, Mr. Elliker? 3 MR. ELLIKER: Yes, Your Honor, we understand. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Also, regarding deadlines for Judge Gibney, the 6 7 deadlines are as follows: Within 14 days, motions that challenge the sufficiency of the indictment, raise any 8 9 issues regarding jurisdiction or for discovery to suppress evidence from mental examination, any objections regarding 10 the use of evidence that require pretrial ruling and 11 raising any other pretrial matter, those motions would be 12 due within 14 days. Responses would be due 14 days 13 thereafter, rebuttal briefs due three days after that. 14 15 Any other motions would be due 21 days before 16 Subpoenas are due 14 days before trial. Proposed trial. 17 voir dire and jury instructions are due seven days before trial, and those should be emailed to chambers. And if 18 you wish to schedule a motion, you should contact 19 20 chambers. 21 And it may be that Judge Gibney will also enter an order regarding that information because there was a 22 23 lot of information. 24 So, okay, Mr. Elliker do you want to -- any other questions regarding that information I just provided

```
you-all?
 1
 2
             MR. ELLIKER: No, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             Do you have any evidence related to detention?
 4
 5
             MR. ELLIKER: Yes, we do, Your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay.
7
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, the United States
8
   calls Special Agent Joshua Valot.
 9
             THE CLERK: Do you affirm under penalty of
10
  perjury that the testimony you're about to give, in this
11
   case, before this Court, shall be the truth, the whole
   truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
12
13
             SPECIAL AGENT VALOT: I do.
             Whereupon, Special Agent Joshua Valot, having
14
   been duly sworn in, testifies as follows:
15
16
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
  BY MR. ELLIKER:
        Good morning. Could you please state your name, and
18
19
   spell it for the record.
20
        My name is Joshua Valot. My last name is spelled V,
21
  as in Victor, A-L-O-T.
22
        Are you a special agent with the ATF?
23
        I am.
24
        And how long have you been working for the ATF, Agent
  Valot?
```

- 1 A I've been a special agent since 2005.
- 2 Q And are you the lead case agent on the investigation
- 3 ||in this case?
- 4 A I am.
- 5 Q During the course of the investigation, have you
- 6 reviewed reports written by law enforcement officers
- 7 investigating this case?
- 8 A I have.
- 9 Q What kinds of reports have you reviewed?
- 10 A The Richmond Police Department generated reports on
- 11 the -- on this incident, and those are what I've reviewed.
- 12 Q Did you also review police body worn camera footage
- 13 that was related to this investigation?
- 14 A I have.
- 15 Q And based on the review of those materials, and
- 16 others, are you familiar with the facts and circumstances
- 17 underlying the indictment?
- 18 A I am.
- 19 Q Now, this investigation involves Mr. Moore. Is he a
- 20 convicted felon?
- 21 A Yes, he is.
- 22 | Q Now, generally speaking, are you aware of what and
- 23 when he was convicted?
- 24 A I am.
- 25 Q Can you tell the Court what that is?

```
In 2011 and 2012, Mr. Moore was convicted with
 1
 2
   possession with intent to distribute narcotics.
 3
        And each of those were felony convictions?
        That's correct.
 4
 5
        Now flash forward to December 5th, 2020, did Richmond
   police officers encounter Mr. Moore during an attempted
 6
7
   traffic stop?
8
        They did.
 9
        Can you please describe to the Court what prompted
10
  the officers to attempt that traffic stop?
11
        The officers observed Mr. Moore driving a vehicle
   getting ready to leave a gas station. They observed
12
   Mr. Moore appeared to manipulate something on his -- in
13
  his lap area.
                  They then observed that the license plate
15
   on the vehicle, which was a 30-day temporary tag, was
16
   identical to two other vehicles that they had pulled over
17
   earlier that evening and they knew did not come back as a
   legitimate registration. So they then proceeded to
18
19
   initiate a traffic stop with Mr. Moore.
20
             At that point, Mr. Moore fled from the officers.
21
  He fled -- drove through three stop signs, and then
22
   eventually crashed his vehicle into a curb. He then got
23
   out of the vehicle and ran approximately one block before
   being detained by the officers.
25
        Now, you mentioned that they identified Mr. Moore as
```

```
the driver. Is it true that they identified him after
 2
   they caught up to him in the -- when -- after he had fled
 3
   on foot?
        That's correct.
 4
 5
        Now, when the officers observed the car that he had
   fled from, what did they see on the floorboard of the car?
 6
7
        They observed a firearm on the floorboard in plain
8
   view.
 9
        I'd like for you -- I think you walked up there with
10
  a couple of premarked exhibits. Could you look at what's
11
   been marked as Government's Exhibit 1?
        Yes, sir.
12
  Α
13
        What is that?
        This is the firearm that was recovered from Mr. Moore
14
15
   or from the vehicle.
16
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, I'd move to enter
17
   Government's Exhibit 1 into evidence.
             THE COURT: Any objection?
18
19
             MR. WAGNER: No, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. It will be admitted.
21
             (Government's Exhibit 1 is received.)
22
             MR. ELLIKER: Here. I'll send you up with this
23
   one, too.
24
             That's for later. That -- that's the next one,
   Your Honor.
```

```
1
             THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 2
   BY MR. ELLIKER:
 3
        Special Agent Valot, through your training and
   experience, are you familiar with firearms and accessories
 4
   and attachments that can be used with firearms?
 5
 6
        Yes, I am.
7
        Now, this photograph in Government's Exhibit 1, what
   kind of firearm is that?
8
 9
        There's a Taurus semi-auto -- semi-automatic handgun
10
  In that photograph, along with a pistol stabilizing brace.
11
        Could you describe more about the -- are the
  pistol -- you just said pistol and stabilizing brace.
12
                                                           Ιs
   that an attachment to the gun?
13
        That is an attachment.
14
15
        And could you describe for the Court what the
   features are of that attachment?
16
17
        So, within this -- within this photograph, there is
   the semi-automatic handgun that I mentioned. And then
18
19
  basically that firearm is -- has been placed inside what
   we -- what is called a stabilizing brace, which the long
20
21
  part at the end is used to actually -- to fire the
22
   firearm, you stick your hand through the -- the brace on
   the left side of the photograph, and then that helps you
24 hold the firearm and it helps to reduce recoil for
25 shooting the firearm.
```

```
1
        Are there other features on the brace itself that
 2
   assist in the use of the firearm?
 3
        There's a red -- there's a holographic sight on the
   top to be able to sight into a target. There's also a
 5
   laser sight and a flashlight there attached to the side of
   the brace.
 6
7
        Is it fair to say then that these features assist a
8
   shooter in aiming at the intended target?
 9
        Yes, sir.
        And that the -- the feature of the brace, I think I
10
11
  heard you describe, was that it helps to reduce the recoil
  in the -- in the course of firing the weapon?
12
        That's correct.
13
        Now, in your training and experience, do you know --
14
   you mentioned, I think, the brace fitting your hand
15
   through it. Do you know other ways that users of these
16
  kinds of accessories might fire the weapon with a brace?
17
             MR. WAGNER: Objection to the speculative nature
18
19
  unless it applies to this defendant in this case or he has
   personal experience.
20
21
             THE COURT: I'll overrule it. I mean, to the
22
   extent that it's -- he has -- his expertise bears on how
23
   this device can be used.
24
             MR. ELLIKER: Well, and I -- Your Honor, I think
25
   I may have poorly framed the question.
```

```
1
  BY MR. ELLIKER:
        You described the designed -- I understood you to
 2
 3
   design -- to describe the designed method of use of the
   brace by fitting your arm through. Is my -- is my
 4
   understanding correct on that?
 5
        That's correct.
 6
7
        Are there other ways, in your training and
   experience, that you know people who have these kinds of
8
 9
   braces would use that brace without fitting their hand
10
  through the brace?
11
        Yes, sir.
        How is that?
12
13
        It could be used to stick the -- the brace part that
   goes -- your hand goes through up -- up against your
14
15
   shoulder to use it -- to be able to use your shoulder as
  helping you to assist firing the weapon.
16
17
        And would that also reduce the recoil and assist in
18
   aiming?
19
        Yes, it could.
20
        Now, you said you reviewed the body worn camera
21
  footage in this case, is that correct?
        That's correct.
22
23
        And in those recordings, did the defendant admit to
   officers the reason he fled the traffic stop was because
24
  he had this firearm?
```

```
1
        He did.
 2
        Now, in addition have you reviewed records from
 3
   Henrico County regarding Mr. Moore's acknowledgment of
   prohibition on the possession of firearms?
 4
 5
        I believe that was from -- I'm not sure if that was
   Henrico County or that was from probation -- it was from a
 6
7
   probation and parole office. I'm not sure if it was based
   out of Henrico.
8
 9
        But you have reviewed records that show Mr. Moore
10
  signing acknowledgment that he is a prohibited person, not
11
   allowed to use -- to possess a firearm?
        That's correct.
12
13
               And was the defendant taken into custody on
        Okav.
   the evening of December 5th, 2020?
14
15
        Yes, he was.
16
        And, subsequently, was he later released and placed
   on home electronic monitoring by the City of Richmond?
17
18
        Yes, sir.
19
        Have you reviewed records related to his compliance
20
   with home electronic monitoring?
21
   Α
        I have.
22
        I ask you to take a look at what's been marked as
   Government's Exhibit 2. Can you say what that exhibit is?
        This is a report from -- from the pretrial services
24
```

from -- relating Mr. Moore's home electronic monitoring.

```
1
        And is this the report that you reviewed related to
 2
   his home electronic monitoring?
 3
        It is.
 4
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, we'd move to enter
 5
   Exhibit 2 into evidence.
             THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
 7
             MR. WAGNER: No objection.
             THE COURT: It will be admitted.
8
             (Government's Exhibit 2 is received.)
 9
10
             MR. ELLIKER:
                           Thank you.
11
   BY MR. ELLIKER:
        I'd ask you to flip to the fourth page, which is --
12
   has the number 34 in the bottom right corner. The second
13
  paragraph, a few lines down, could you -- it starts on
   12/31/2020. Could you please read that.
15
        "On 12-31-2020 the client left his resident
16
  unauthorized on or about 4:09 pm without authorization.
17
   On 12-28-2020 the client was told he cannot attend a
18
19
  family's New Year's Eve Festivities. On 12-31-2020 the
20
   client was in and out of his residence unauthorized.
21
   alerted the client several times. I called the client's
22
   phone number on file, but he did not respond. The client
23
   was out of the local community" -- "was out of the local
   community unauthorized until 2:57 a.m. on 1-1-2021.
24
  did not respond to his alerts or phone calls while making
```

DIRECT EXAM OF SPECIAL AGENT VALOT BY MR. ELLIKER 1 unauthorized moves. He did not" -- "he did not filling 2 out his weekly itinerary to reflect his movements for two 3 or more hours while being tracked. This is the client's 2nd violation. The client's action constitute a Major 4 5 **Violation** of his HEM program agreement. Despite the programs best effort this client has demonstrated a 6 7 blatant disregard of the court order and the HEM 8 requirements." 9 And if you could flip forward to the page marked 41, and read the second paragraph that starts "1-2-2021." 10 11 "On 1-2-2021 the client left his assigned resident XXX Xxxxxx Street, Richmond, VA XXXXX. The client 12 left his resident unauthorized on or about 9:38 p.m. 13 did not return to his resident until 10:38 p.m. 14 client was tracked to the unauthorized location listed 15 The client was buzzed/vibrated, but he did not 16 below. respond to his alerts. The client is aware of the 17 consequences he is subject to if he continue to violate 18 19 HEM program rules. This rep -- "this reprimand is the client's **3rd** write-up concerning his" violation --20 21 concerning him violating his HEM program agreement. Client's actions constitute his 2nd Major Violation of the 22 HEM program rules for unauthorized movements and not 23

filling out his Weekly Itinerary to reflect his movements.

Now, based on the violations of the HEM conditions,

CROSS-EXAM OF SPECIAL AGENT VALOT BY MR. WAGNER 19

```
was the defendant arrested by Richmond police on or about
 2
   January 21st, 2021?
 3
        Yes, he was.
        And was he later released about a month later?
 4
 5
        Yes, he was.
 6
             MR. ELLIKER: Those are all the questions I
7
   have, Your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: Okay.
 9
             Mr. Wagner. And, Mr. Wagner, I would note, I
   think it's clear from the documents related to his state
10
11
   supervision that following his release, the last page sets
   forth his conduct after that date. So, I've read it.
12
   understand if you-all want to arque it, that's fine.
13
   don't know that we need to have cross-examination of Agent
14
15
  Valot on that point, so I just would clarify that I've
16
  read this last page.
17
             MR. WAGNER: Okay.
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
  BY MR. WAGNER:
19
20
        So you know that he's been successful on pretrial
21
  release since his release. And I believe that release, if
22
   you know, was February 15th, is that right?
23
        It was around that time frame. Yes, sir.
24
        And so he's been out for approximately four months
   since then?
```

```
CROSS-EXAM OF SPECIAL AGENT VALOT BY MR. WAGNER
                                                          20
 1
        That's correct.
 2
        Okay. Are you aware of his employment situation?
 3
        He had explained the employment situation when I took
   him into custody.
 4
 5
        Two full-time jobs, right?
        That's what he told me.
 6
7
        All right. And did he tell you that he's taking care
8
   of his mother who has some health problems?
 9
        He did not explain that part to me.
10
        Okay. Are you aware of that from any other source?
11
        No, sir, I'm not.
        All right. The -- you talked about the firearm in
12
13
   this case, and the -- the extra equipment that was added
  to the firearm. Do you know if there was a bullet in the
   chambers when the gun was found?
15
16
        There was not.
   Α
17
        Okay. And this equipment that was added to the
   firearm, it doesn't expand the capacity of -- of bullets
18
19
   that the gun can fire, correct?
        That's correct.
20
21
        Okay. So solely the cartridge that was in the gun.
22
   I think it had six bullets in it, is that right?
23
        The magazine had eight -- eight rounds in it.
24
        Eight rounds. Okay.
```

25 And that was all that it could fire, correct? CROSS-EXAM OF SPECIAL AGENT VALOT BY MR. WAGNER 21

```
1
        That's correct.
        All right. You talked about his offenses. I believe
2
 3
   one conviction was in 2010 for a drug violation, right?
        I believe it was 2011, but I could be wrong.
 4
        And there was one in 2012 as well, right?
 5
        That's correct.
 6
7
        Do you know how much drugs were involved in those
8
   cases?
 9
        I do not.
10
        Do you know that there are no firearms involved in
11
   those cases?
12
        I do not.
13
        And since his release on the 2012 drug violation,
  he's had no felony charges since then until December of
15
   2020, correct?
16
        That's correct.
17
             MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
             No further questions, Judge.
18
19
             THE COURT: Okay.
20
             Any redirect?
21
             MR. ELLIKER: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Okay.
23
             Thank you, Agent Valot. You can step down.
24
                       WITNESS STOOD ASIDE
25
             Mr. Elliker, do you have additional evidence
```

```
you'd like to present?
 2
             MR. ELLIKER: No evidence, Your Honor.
 3
   you.
             THE COURT: Mr. Wagner, do you have evidence?
 4
 5
             MR. WAGNER: No evidence, Judge.
             I just want to point out that Mr. Moore's mother
 6
7
   is here, and she would serve as a third-party custodian.
   If the Court has any concerns or any questions for her,
8
 9
   she's available for examination.
10
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Thank you.
11
             MR. WAGNER: Thank you.
             THE COURT: Mr. Elliker, I'll hear from you.
12
13
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, acknowledged in this
   case is the government's burden to prove either --
14
15
   either/or, and danger to the community, or risk of flight.
   I think both are present here, but perhaps more -- more
16
17
   towards the danger to the community, the weight of the
   evidence in this case is very strong.
19
             Obviously -- just to note for the record,
   obviously, there's already been a finding of probable
20
21
   cause by the grand jury and the return of the indictment,
   but the underlying incident involved flight from officers
22
   during a simple traffic stop, running multiple stop signs,
23
   crashing a car, fleeing on foot. By definition itself,
   the -- the method -- or the -- the inciting incident here
```

was a dangerous flight.

He possessed a firearm knowing that he was not supposed to, and that firearm had attachments that make it easier to aim and shoot and -- which make it a particularly dangerous weapon in the hands of someone who's not supposed to have it or may be using it for an illegal purpose.

And in terms of his criminal history, I'm aware that it was a little while ago, but I still think it's of relevance, his felony convictions, particularly given how it relates to a history of noncompliance with the law. We have a defendant who knew he was a felon who was not supposed to posses a firearm, and broke that law. Knew he was being pulled over the night of December 5th, and fled from police. Knew he was being monitored in this very case before it was indicted federally, and violated that program. That the people overseeing that in Richmond called it a flagrant violation of that program's requirements.

And I also think -- and I think this is right from reviewing the criminal history, that this offense is actually committed while a suspended sentence is still in effect from the most recent Henrico felony conviction because there was a -- that sentence imposed was suspended for a period of 10 years less than 10 years ago. So, that

```
is -- that's -- that's our position on detention - the
 2
   need to protect the community.
 3
             At the same time while I'm here, I will
   recognize that the evidence that cuts in the defendant's
 4
 5
   favor, to the extent the Court is considering imposing --
   allowing the defendant's release, we would strongly urge
 6
7
   the Court to appoint a third-party custodian with location
   monitoring to assure continued compliance with any
8
 9
   conditions that the Court and the probation office would
10
   seek.
11
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                            Thank you.
12
             MR. ELLIKER:
13
             THE COURT: Mr. Wagner.
                          May I have just one moment, Judge?
14
             MR. WAGNER:
15
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
16
             MR. WAGNER: Your Honor, we ask that Mr. Moore
17
   be released for pretrial detention purposes. He is not a
            He does not pose a danger to the community.
18
19
   is not a risk of flight.
20
             And the best indicators for that, Judge, are the
   fact that he has complied with his conditions of state
21
22
   pretrial release for the past four months, and he has a
   stable job and a stable residence. Actually, two stable
          Two full-time jobs, and a stable residence.
   jobs.
25
             THE COURT:
                          What are his jobs?
```

25 1 MR. WAGNER: So, he works with GRTC. THE COURT: And what does he do for them, do you 2 3 know? 4 MR. WAGNER: He works as a -- cleaning and 5 sanitizing the buses for Covid purposes. 7:00 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. 6 7 We have an email also from his job with Family Enterprises. He acts with -- they're a moving company. 8 He works from 9:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday for the 10 moving company. They install appliances. He's been doing 11 this job for about two to three years, Your Honor. 12 The GRTC job he's had for the past four months since he was -- since he was released. And he carries 13 these two jobs, Your Honor, because he has a young child to take care of. Actually, two children to take care of. 15 And he indicates he has a child on the way. 17 And, also, so he can help take care of his His mother has some medical problems, Your Honor. 18 19 She has heart problems. Two stints in her heart. She has leg problems, hip replacements, gout, and she needs help 20 21 getting to -- to medical appointments. And Mr. Moore 22 provides that assistance for his mother. Lives with his 23 mother.

And she would be a suitable third-party custodian in this case if this Court is considering his

release.

Judge, I would suggest to the Court that the best indicator of success on pretrial release is employment. As the Court well knows, people who have jobs, people who are employed, they tend to be much more compliant with a -- with rules of supervised release.

And this is not a presumption case, Judge.

Actually, I think if you read the Bail Reform Act, there appears to be a presumption in favor of release. 18

U.S.C. 3142 directs that the Court shall order the pretrial release of the defendant subject to the least restrictive conditions, or combination of conditions, as long as the Court is reasonably assured that he will not pose a danger to the community or a risk of flight. And so this — this is the foundation for our request that the Court allow Mr. Moore to be released on pretrial pending the trial of this matter.

Judge, he has support in the community. A stable residence. His mother, again, is here to support him.

I think it's also important to understand the difference between state court and federal court. And, yes, there was a violation, or maybe two violations, in state court for his pretrial release there. He would tell the Court that there were two pretrial services officers.

```
One of the officers said it was okay for him to -- to go
   for the -- the -- it was a New Year's Eve celebration. To
 3
   go out for the New Year's Eve celebration. And he admits
   there was another officer that told him not to. He went
   ahead and did it, and he was punished for that, and
 5
   punished for what happened on the 2nd of January.
 6
7
             But since then, Judge, he's been completely
   compliant for four months with the conditions of his
8
 9
   pretrial release in state court.
10
             THE COURT: And it's -- it appears -- let me
11
   just ask this. I'm very concerned with -- to me, the
   conducted alleged in the pretrial violation report is
12
   flagrant and entirely unacceptable, but it does appear to
13
   me that he remained on home electronic monitoring during
14
15
   -- after his release. Is that your understanding as well?
16
             MR. WAGNER: I can't answer that, Judge.
17
   don't know the answer to that question. Let me ask him,
   if I could?
18
             THE COURT: Okay. I mean, it says "per HEM,"
19
   which would be home electronic monitoring.
20
21
             MR. WAGNER:
                          Right.
22
             MR. ELLIKER: Your Honor, I can say he was on
23
   electronic monitoring until he was arrested last week.
24
             MR. WAGNER: Yeah, he was arrested at his job,
   and he did have home electronic -- that's how they found
```

him, evidentially, through the home electronic monitoring.

So, yes, I guess the answer to that question would be,

yes, he was on home electronic monitoring at the time, but

now he's been taken into federal court, Judge. And that's

kind of raised the stakes for him. And he understands

that.

I mean, you know the impact that having a federal indictment on an individual, on a defendant. You know the impact that has on the defendant and has on -- on Mr. Moore. So he will take this even more seriously than he took the state situation.

But as I said, he has -- he has children to look out for out there. He has his mother to look out for. So he's going to be extra careful that he does not violate any of the conditions of his supervised release.

Judge, in these times of criminal justice reform, courts should be looking for alternatives to incarceration and not expend resources unnecessarily incarcerating individuals like Mr. Moore who can otherwise be law-abiding, productive citizens, someone with two full-time jobs, someone who has got to take care of his mother.

So I ask that the Court look at the situation with Mr. Moore, look at the last four months. If the government felt that Mr. Moore was a danger to the

community over this past four months, well, they could have issued a criminal complaint and brought him into custody. They could have brought the indictment sooner rather than allow him to be out for the last four months. So it's somewhat inconsistent for the government to argue that he's a danger now but wasn't for the past four months when they could have bought him into custody.

I think he's proven to the Court that he can be successful on pretrial release, as he has been for the past four months. And if this Court is inclined to take a chance on him, there can be conditions put in place that would assure his return to the Court, assure that he's a — that he's safe out there, and that's home electronic monitoring.

We have good people in pre -- with out pretrial release program who can monitor him, who can keep tabs on him, and will let the Court know as soon as there are any kind of issues of noncompliance. So we -- we ask that the Court allow Mr. Moore to be released on conditions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Moore, can you please stand where you are.

So, sir, the question in a detention hearing is whether or not I can impose conditions, or a combination of conditions, to avoid a risk of flight or danger to the community. It's the government's burden to show either by

clear and convincing evidence that there's a danger to the community or by a preponderance of the evidence that there's a risk of flight. I'll start first with whether I think there's a risk of flight.

As -- you know, you are a lifetime resident of Richmond, you have a child here, it appears you have a child on the way. Your family is here willing to serve as a third-party custodian. I don't see -- you do have some criminal history, which I want to talk about. There's no evidence of significant failure to appear of not going to court when you're required to do so, and so I weigh that as well. And so I -- based on the record here, I don't think you're a risk of -- of flight.

I think then the other concern is the danger to the community, and we'll talk about that. So, regarding danger to the community, you know, I do -- I think this is a very close case. In part it is a close case because there's not a presumption. I listened very carefully to the alleged criminal conduct, which is serious, and does -- the presence of a loaded firearm in a vehicle, especially this type of device, presents a danger to the community in and of itself. And I think that the weight of that evidence is strong. Those would favor detention, and I weigh them.

I also consider the fact that there is some

history of use of marijuana, but not more significant substance abuse problems. There is a history of some violation of the pretrial.

Your failure to comply with home electronic monitoring is very serious. That type of conduct is not tolerated, and would not be tolerated in this Court. The first instance of it would result in incarceration. And so it's not just the failure to comply with home electronic monitoring, but it's the repetitive nature of that that I find absolutely concerning because it demonstrates a willingness to abide by conditions that attorney — Judges may impose upon you. And so I do consider that, and the fact that there are — there were some new charges while on supervision.

But I have to weigh that against what conditions I can impose, as well as the fact that your mother is here. She's going to serve as a third-party custodian. She would be available to supervise you basically continuously.

You have two jobs. I agree with Mr. Wagner. I think that is an indicator of willingness to comply. And then more recently there is an ability to comply while on pretrial, and at least the recommendation from apparently pretrial and then the Court's order that you remain on pretrial, which you did so until your arrest, without any

32 new criminal conduct or violations. And so I consider that on balance. I think I can impose conditions, very strict conditions, to assure that that prior conduct which would raise a concern of dangerousness can be addressed. I'll order that once the home electronic monitoring can be put in place that you be released, but it will be on very strict conditions. And I want to be absolutely as clear as I can with you that it is your obligation - not your mother's obligation, not your attorney's obligation, or the probation officer's obligation - to understand the conditions of pretrial release. And if you violate those conditions, the impact to you is very significant. you can be incarcerated. You can face additional terms of incarceration. The district court can consider that conduct when considering your case once it's resolved. And so the effect of you during this period of time is very significant, and I want to make sure you understand that. Do you understand that?

22 MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

THE COURT: Okay.

So, I'll find then release is appropriate. I'11 go through those conditions with you.

You will be under the supervision of the pretrial services officer. You will be placed on home detention with electronic monitoring. You -- at the discretion of the pretrial services officer, can be approved for scheduled absences for employment, any treatment, attorney visits, court appearances, any court ordered obligations or other activities as approved by the probation officer.

And what that means is you have to get the probation officer's approval. And so if you have a work schedule, that has to be preapproved before you leave. If you need 22 minutes to get from your home to your work, you cannot leave. You need to schedule that travel time, and you cannot leave your residence until the time in which you are permitted to leave. And you must return at the time you are scheduled to return.

If you need to deviate from that schedule, you can only deviate if you have the prior approval of your pretrial services officer, do you understand that?

MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

You cannot possess a firearm, destructive device or other weapons. You may not violate any other state or federal law. You may not use or possess any narcotic drug or controlled substance. You will be drug tested. I will

```
know immediately if those drug tests come back positive,
   and so you are prohibited from using or possessing any
 2
   controlled substances, do you understand that?
 3
             MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.
 4
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 6
             Any testing or treatment at the discretion of
7
   the pretrial services officer for substance abuse.
8
             Mr. Elliker, are there any other conditions that
 9
   you would ask for that you think I may have missed?
10
             MR. ELLIKER: Well, Your Honor, we had
11
   mentioned -- and forgive my lack of knowledge on this, but
  we had mentioned a third-party custodian. I don't know if
12
   that's -- if you're considering not doing that?
13
             THE COURT: I -- no, I -- thank you.
14
15
             MR. ELLIKER: Okay.
16
             THE COURT: Is there anything else other than a
17
   third-party custodian?
18
             MR. ELLIKER: No.
                                No, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: Okay.
20
             I will place you then into the third-party
21
   custodian. Your mother will be your third-party
   custodian.
22
23
             Ma'am, if you can stand where you are, please.
   I'd like to address you.
25
             Thank you for being here today. I want to make
```

```
sure you understand, I know Mr. Wagner and the pretrial
 2
   services officer have talked to you, about I want to
 3
   ensure that you understand your obligations. And what
   that means is you've just heard me place your son on a
 5
   number of conditions which require him to do certain
   things and not do certain things. As the third-party
 6
7
   custodian, I'm relying on you to call the probation
   officer if he doesn't do -- if, you know, he violates
8
 9
   those conditions in any way.
10
             Is that -- are you willing to serve in that
11
   role?
                                Yes, ma'am.
12
             MS. MOORE:
                         Yes.
13
                         And you think you can call me if he
             THE COURT:
   steps outside those lines?
14
15
             MS. MOORE:
                         Yes, ma'am.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. And the reason that's
17
   important is because if you fail to do that, what your son
  has done, effectively, is to bring you into our criminal
18
19
   justice system, and if you don't do that, that then falls
   back not just on you, but on him, do you understand that?
20
21
             MS. MOORE:
                         Yes, ma'am.
22
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
             So you'll have paperwork to sign, and then the
23
   pretrial services officer will tell you how to get the
24
  home electronic monitoring installed. And once that's
```

```
done, then your son will be released, okay?
 2
             Thank you for your presence here.
 3
             Sir, do you have any questions about the
   conditions as I placed you on?
 4
 5
             MR. MOORE:
                          Yes, ma'am.
                          Do you have any questions?
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
             MR. MOORE:
                          Yes.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. Ask your attorney real quick,
 9
   and then I'll clarify.
10
             Any questions, Mr. Wagner?
11
             MR. WAGNER: He wanted to know if he could go to
   work.
12
13
             THE COURT:
                          Yeah, you can as approved by your
   probation officer.
14
15
             MR. WAGNER: Can he also take his mother to her
   medical appointments?
17
             THE COURT:
                          Well, Mr. Moore, do you have a valid
   license?
18
19
             MR. MOORE:
                          I'm supposed to go to DMV coming up.
20
                          He has a restricted license.
             MR. WAGNER:
21
             THE COURT:
                          You have a restricted license.
22
   Okay.
23
             What I -- for med -- if you have preapproval for
   medial appointments, your medical appointments, your
   unborn child's medical appointments, or your mother's
```

```
medical appointments, those would be appropriate reasons
 2
   to ask the pretrial services officer. But those have to
 3
   be preapproved.
             And exceeding the bounds of what you're
 4
 5
   permitted to -- your driving privileges would be a
 6
   violation of a state law. That would be a violation of
7
   your conditions of pretrial. So, I don't know what the
8
   status of your license is, but I would advise you of that.
 9
   And you should talk to your attorney regarding when and
10
   how you're able to drive, do you understand that?
11
             MR. MOORE:
                          Yes, sir.
12
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
13
             Mr. Wagner, are there any other conditions that
14
   you think may be necessary here?
15
             MR. WAGNER:
                                Thank you, Judge.
                          No.
             THE COURT:
16
                          Okay.
17
             So, Mr. Moore, I wish you the best of luck.
   will be remanded until the home electronic monitoring is
18
19
   put in place, okay?
20
             Madam Clerk, anything further? No?
             Thank you all. We'll stand in recess.
21
22
             (The proceeding concluded at 11:43 a.m.)
                      REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
23
24
               I, Krista Liscio Harding, OCR, RMR,
   Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
   Virginia at large, and whose commission expires
```

2 March 31, 2024, Notary Registration Number 149462, do hereby certify that the pages contained herein accurately reflect the recording transcribed by me, to the best of my ability, in the above styled action. Given under my hand this 22nd day of June, 2021. /s/ Krista Liscio Harding, RMR Official Court Reporter